

**Funding Requested**

	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>		
<b>CRITERION</b>	<b>SUFFICIENT</b>	<b>PARTIAL/MOSTLY</b>	<b>INSUFFICIENT</b>	<b>N/A</b> (no penalty)	<b>NOTES</b>
<b>Description</b>	Scope of project is clear and aims to advance Diversity, Equity, Inclusion & Belonging in the community.	Vague about some details but overall enough description to trust it will work well enough	Project is not described well enough to understand or is missing DEI & B elements		
<b>Purpose</b>	Evidence supporting the benefits described (qualitative or quantitative data, theory, review of literature)	Anecdotal evidence or less reliable sources only	Benefits unclear or assumed and unchecked by external sources		
<b>Assessment</b>	Goals are well-defined, achievable, measurable, and relevant long-term	The signs of success are well enough described to trust assessment will be performed adequately in the end.	Too many doubts or uncertainties about the presented markers of success		
<b>Accountability</b>	The project checks its intended results with its actual impact on those affected, as related to experiences of exclusion or erasure	Vague about some details but overall reason to trust it will work well enough	Project centers needs/desires of applicant organization OR lacks meaningful input from marginalized voices/experiences		
<b>Timeline</b>	Timeline is realistic, with clear stop criteria	Vague about some details but overall reason to trust it will work well enough	Too many doubts or uncertainties about plan as presented		
<b>Budget</b>	Budget is appropriate and realistic for the work. Labor and expertise of outside voices compensated adequately	Vague in places but overall reason to trust it will work well enough	Too many doubts or uncertainties about plan as presented		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>Recommend for Funding?</b>		<b>Comments</b>		
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NOT AT THIS TIME</b>			
<b>POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	$12-(N/A*2)=$	<b>AMOUNT:</b>			
<b>Reviewer Name</b>					