



APPLICANT
PROJECT NAME
FUNDING REQUESTED

GRANT PROPOSAL SCORING RUBRIC

Criterion	4 Exemplary	3 Adequate	1 Needs Improvement	0 Insufficient Evidence	Comments/Notes
Innovation	Project represents the implementation of new insight or idea, with potential benefits of change made clear.	Project represents local implementation of emerging innovation or trend, with potential benefits specified.	Project represents practice(s) commonplace within field, or an adoption of a change with well-established benefits.	No innovation described or specific potential improvement defined.	
Justification	Strong rationale and significance of proposed work. Addresses specific need(s) common among peer institutions.	Rationale or significance of project tends toward the too-specific or too-general, but overall argument holds.	Weak presentation of institutional or community need, or tenuous argument for grant's ability to address need.	Unconvincing or no evidence of need presented, or grant proposal does not address stated need.	
Relationship to Organizational Strategic Vision and/or Community's Goals	Project outcomes or activities align with both organizational vision and goals of greater community.	Project elements align with goals of either the organization or its greater community, but not both.	Project tangentially but not directly related to organizational strategic vision or community goals.	No explicit relationship between project and the agenda of its organization or community.	
Feasibility	Personnel, project activities timeline, and budget expenditures congruent with project description and outcomes.	Deficiencies or overestimations exist in personnel, timeline, or budget within tolerable range, outcomes appear achievable despite gaps or leaps.	Project's assembled personnel, timeline, or budget expose weaknesses in plan design. Outcomes unlikely to be achieved in project's current form.	Insufficient information about personnel, project activities timeline, or budget expenditures to gauge feasibility.	



APPLICANT
PROJECT NAME
FUNDING REQUESTED

Efficiency of Tactic/Approach	Project plan leverages or contributes to existing infrastructure or precedents. Design appears scalable or replicable.	Project plan overlooks or fails to mention important connections to relevant work by others, but redeemable. Represents a worthy contribution.	Project isolated from related work and duplicates effort. Extension or replication in current form inadvisable.	Plan presented lacks sufficient detail to judge how it fits into industry or local contexts.	
Assessment	Clear picture of how data will be collected and used to demonstrate degree to which outcomes are met.	Good understanding of anticipated specific results or success, but plan lacks some details about data or methods.	Success difficult to ascertain, flawed by untestable outcomes, inappropriate methods, or lack of useful data collection.	Evaluation plans missing or unusable.	
Sustainability	Evidence presented that project or its impact can be sustained locally beyond grant period, if results warrant.	Project is temporary, designed to end when grant ends, or some effort to secure commitment beyond grant period is represented.	Plans for future are stated as assumptions without supporting arguments or evidence.	No meaningful plans for future beyond funding term appear in proposal	
Total Score					
Recommend Funding?	Yes	Partial \$_____	Not at this time		
Additional Comments					

Comments or feedback on any of the instruments or elements of this process? Thank you so much for your service to